

Project name:	Liveability Project	Project Ref:	
Project location:		Score out	
Essential Criteria	Score Criteria	of 5	Weighting
1. To what extent does the project contribute to delivering the Council's corporate priorities?	Contributes to all 5 priorities (5) Contributes to 4 out of 5 priorities (4) Contributes to 3 out of 5 priorities (3) Contributes to 2 out of 5 priorities (2) Contributes to 1 out of 5 priorities (1) Does not contribution to any priorities (0)		2
2. To what extent does the project meet the vision and objectives of the Liveability Fund Strategy? (including revised timetable)	Strongly contributes Makes a good contribution Makes a reasonable contribution Makes a limited contribution Opportunistic proposal		3
2a. To what extent does the project contribute to making North Dorset Greener, Cleaner or Safer?	2 = Strongly contributes 1 = Partially contributes 0 = Does not contribute		3
3a. What evidence is there of need for the project and has there been consultation? (Supporting information may be requested from the applicant)	Strong evidence of need - research Extensive consultation Good evidence of need Reasonable evidence of consultation Limited evidence of need and consultation		2
3b. Does it fit with either a Community, Local or Parish Plan?	Is included in one of these plans (5) Is in process of being incorporated within one of these plans (4) Identified as a priority by the local community (3) Identified as a priority by project proposer but no evidence of local community input (2) Does not appear in any plans or appear to have been consulted on (1)		3
4. To what extent does the project provide a different way of delivering Council services? (Identify which Council services and how - relating to public open space and community planning)	Improved customer access to services Improved community input to decision making Improved provision of public open space Improved accessibility and management of public open space Increased local procurement of goods and services		3
5. Will the project require ongoing revenue support, to what extent and is this provided for?	The project is likely to be expensive to maintain for the foreseeable future. The project will require a degree of subsidy to maintain for the foreseeable future. A high level of revenue support will be required for a period of time before the project breaks even The project requires a limited amount of revenue support		3
5a. To what extent does the project involve/support young people in the community?	2 = Strongly supports/involves young people 1 = Partially supports/involves young people 0 = Does not relate to young people at all		3
6. Is the project in a priority area?	5 = Shaftesbury and surrounding area 5= Blandford and surrounding area 4 = District Wide		

Important Criteria	Score Criteria	Score out of 5	Weighting
7. To what extent does the project represent value for money in beneficiaries cost etc? (E.g. cost:beneficiaries ratio).	High number of beneficiaries and low cost Reasonable number of beneficiaries and low cost Reasonable number of beneficiaries and reasonable cost Low number of beneficiaries and low cost Reasonable number of beneficiaries but high cost.		3
8. Does the project provide an opportunity to contribute to Equal Opportunities and access for all?	The project will remain accessible to the public The project improves accessibility to an existing amenity or facility The project provides a new amenity or facility The project contributes to quality of life and active use of public open space The project increases understanding of public open space		2
9. Is Match funding in place and is it confirmed?	(5) All match funding in place and confirmed (4) Some matched funding in place and other proposals awaiting (3) Matched funding identified - bids required (2) Some matched funding committed in principle (0) Matched funding unlikely to be available. (0) Match Funding available but no bids made		2
10 How does the project contribute to principles of sustainability? (Sustainability Checklist enclosed)	Considerable contribution to economic, social and environmental sustainability Good contribution to most of these Good contribution to some of these Reasonable contribution to most of these Limited contribution		2
11a To what extent does the project address performance indicators and are there measurable outcomes/outputs? (Performance Indicators enclosed)	Good contribution to PI's and outputs Reasonable contribution to PI's and outputs Limited contribution to PI's and outputs		2
11b Are there opportunities for income generation to sustain the project and have these been developed?	The project is self funding There are identified sources of income generation There are possible sources of income identified which need to be piloted		2
11c Is there a clear exit strategy for the project?	No exit strategy is required - no financial, legal or management implications identified There is an existing sinking fund or provision for management and maintenance There is a saleable asset - generating a capital receipt to the original funders		2
11d. How robust is the proposed project management process?	Strong business plan in place Existing management in place with a strong track record Little project management required		3
12. How realistic and reasonable are the project costs?	Accurate professionally costed project Project costs reasonable and realistic Further work required to develop accurate costs Costs unrealistic and unreasonable		2
13. How realistic is the project timetable and can it be achieved in the Liveability timescale? (I.e. fully completed by December 07)	Realistic and Deliverable Possible delays identified and addressed Possible delays - such as planning permission, funding applications, acquisitions Resources adequate to deliver - contractors, consultants, council staff time and expertise		3

Important Criteria	Score Criteria	Score out of 5	Weighting
14. Have all of the options been considered? (Could a reduced grant be offered? Or is there another way of achieving the same result?)	Liveability Fund essential to success of project Project needs more funding to proceed effectively Project could proceed with a reduced contribution There are alternative ways of delivering the project or more appropriate sources of funding		2
15. To what extent are developer contributions being collected under S106 Agreements, likely to be available?	Large sum mostly collected  Large sum mostly to be collected Reasonable sum allocated and some collected Small sum mostly collected All matched funding in place.		1
16. Has there been a risk assessment and are the risks acceptable?	Risks identified and low Risk assessment undertaken and risks acceptable Risks unknown or unacceptable		2
	<b>Total score</b>		
<b>Appraised by:</b>	<b>Signed:</b>	<b>Date:</b>	

<b>Score:</b>
<b>Appraisers comments</b>
Score -3 to +3.



<b>Appraisers comments</b>